Adverse Effects of Aggressive Blood Pressure Control in Patients with Intracerebral Hemorrhage
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Background: Medical management of patients presenting with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is focused on blood pressure (BP) management. However, the BP goal to prevent ICH expansion remains controversial. Recent clinical trials have suggested that aggressive BP control is safe but may not have the previously thought benefits.

Case Report: We present an example of aggressive BP control in the setting of hypertensive ICH, in accordance to previously established protocols. This resulted in adverse effects in the form of acute kidney injury and watershed infarcts, which impeded the patients’ recovery and prolonged his hospitalization.

Conclusions: Hypertensive individuals have altered cerebral autoregulation curves shifted to the right and require higher arterial pressures to maintain adequate cerebral blood flow. Hence, aggressive BP reduction may result in cerebral hypoperfusion as well as other forms of end-organ damage.

INTRODUCTION

The primary goal in patients presenting with intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is to prevent hemorrhage expansion and the resultant deterioration. Reversal of anticoagulation, and aggressive blood pressure control are considered the cornerstones of ICH management.1 ICH expansion occurs primarily within 6 hours from initial diagnosis but for 37% of patients it may continue up to 24 hours; an initial systolic blood pressure (SBP) of ≥ 200 mmHg is associated with hematoma expansion and increased mortality.2 A number of studies demonstrate a correlation between higher initial blood pressures and worse outcome.2 This, in addition to the lack of supporting evidence regarding the risk of ischemia in the region surrounding the hemorrhage, have led to increasingly lower SBP or mean arterial pressure (MAP) goals in ICH management.1 Recent clinical trials have suggested that aggressive blood pressure control may not have the previously thought benefits.1,4

CASE REPORT

A 40 year-old male with no known past medical history, but suspected undiagnosed chronic hypertension, presented with sudden onset of headache associated with bilateral blurry vision,
bilateral perioral tingling and left sided weakness 9 hours prior to admission. Neurologic exam was significant for right gaze preference and a left hemiparesis. Computer tomography (CT) of the head demonstrated a right thalamic ICH, which was graded as ICH score 1 on admission (GCS 15, volume < 30 cc, positive IVH, supratentorial origin, age < 80 years; Fig. 1A). CT angiogram demonstrated no vascular abnormality and no evidence of carotid stenosis (Fig. 1B-D). Chest X-ray demonstrated evidence of cardiomegaly suggestive of chronic hypertension. His initial blood pressure was 220/150 mmHg. He was placed on nicardipine and nitroprusside drip targeting SBP less than 140 mmHg, which resulted in a reduction of his BP to 120/70 mmHg within 20 minutes. However, there was no evidence of cardiogenic shock with troponin 0.3 ng/mL and electrocardiogram only suggestive of left ventricular hypertrophy. Thus, SBP was subsequently kept between 120 to 140 mmHg for 24 h and relaxed to

Figure 1. (A) CT head at presentation demonstrating score 1 thalamic ICH; (B) 3D reconstitution of bilateral carotid arteries demonstrating patent vasculature and no evidence of significant stenosis; (C), (D) CT angiogram demonstrating patent intracranial vasculature at presentation.
SBP < 160 mmHg the next day (Fig. 2A).

He continued to require maximum nicardipine drip rate in addition to oral medications till post bleed day (PBD) 3. Given the high blood pressure at presentation and the high antihypertensive requirements we completed a work up for secondary hypertension. A renal ultrasound demonstrated no renal artery stenosis, plasma metanephrines were < 0.20 nmol/L and the aldosterone-ratios was 1.4, thus providing no evidence of secondary hypertension.

Within 5 hours from admission, the patient developed acute kidney injury (AKI) with an increased creatinine from 1.3 to 2.2 and low urine output to 0.3 mL/kg/hr. Urine electrolytes were consistent with pre-renal azotemia based on the fractional excretion of sodium (FENa = 0.3%) below 1%. The patient’s AKI gradually resolved, as demonstrated by urine output, creatinine and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) trends, with fluid hydration and relaxed BP goals (Fig. 2B, C).

Approximately 10 hours after admission the patient had an acute deterioration in exam with more pronounced left sided hemiparesis and diminished level of arousal. Repeat CT head showed stable ICH and electroencephalogram demonstrated no...
evidence of seizures. Patient’s exam continued to wax and wane with persistently decreased level of alertness and worsening dysarthria compared to initial presentation. On PBD 3 the patient became obtunded and required intubation for airway protection. A brain magnetic resonance image (MRI) revealed multiple areas of deep white matter infarction predominantly in the frontoparietal lobes compatible with watershed infarction (Fig. 3). SBP goal was increased to less than 180 mmHg, which was achieved with an oral antihypertensive regimen. The patient’s exam gradually improved, he was able to be extubated and gradually recovered following a 3-week hospital stay.

**DISCUSSION**

Recently, the INTERACT2 trial demonstrated that aggressive SBP lowering to a goal of less than 140 mmHg is safe and resulted in a small yet significant improvement in clinical outcomes. Based on these findings the 2015 AHA guidelines suggested that acute lowering of SBP to 140 mmHg was safe and could be an effective method to improve functional outcomes. Later, the ATACH-2 trial, which attempted to achieve greater therapeutic benefit by more rapidly achieving the SBP goal when comparing intensive (systolic blood-pressure target of 110 to 139 mmHg) or standard (140 to 179 mmHg) care, was terminated prematurely for futility. Apart from establishing non-superiority of aggressive BP management, the ATACH-2 trial underlined the potential risk of SBP reduction to a goal of < 140 mmHg, demonstrating in a post-hoc analysis, an increased rate of renal adverse events at 7 days in the intense BP control group as opposed to the standard group (9% vs 4%, \( P=0.002 \)), but no significant difference in the rates in other adverse events. The ATACH-2 trial failed to show a significant benefit from aggressive SBP control, but no serious adverse events related to treatment were seen within the first 72 hours. Importantly, the guidelines for the treatment for hypertensive emergency suggest SBP reduction of 15-25% of the initial value, in order to avoid end-organ hypoperfusion. Hypertensive individuals have cerebral autoregulation curves shifted to the right and require higher arterial pressures to maintain adequate cerebral blood flow. Hence, aggressive SBP reduction may result in cerebral hypoperfusion. Moreover, excessive unintended decrease in BP in this patient population is common, and the Euro-STAT observational study demonstrated that in 10% of cases intravenous antihypertensive

Figure 3. (A) MRI DWI and (B) MRI ADC demonstrating watershed infarcts.
therapy resulted in hypotension.\textsuperscript{10}

Setting a universal blood pressure goal in the ICH patient population may prove too difficult as cerebral autoregulation may vary widely due to the disease process itself as well as history of hypertension. Advances in neuromonitoring have provided multiple methods to determine cerebral blood flow (CBF) as well as cerebral autoregulation, including transcranial doppler, near-infrared spectroscopy, brain tissue oxygen monitoring, laser doppler flowmetry, and thermal diffusion. Using continuous CBF monitoring may allow us to set individualized BP goals that do not dangerously decrease cerebral perfusion.

This case emphasizes that the practice of intensive SBP control in patients with ICH can be harmful, result in end-organ damage and contribute to prolonged hospital stay. Hopefully, with further advances in neuromonitoring, and trials targeting patient-specific blood pressure goals, we can decrease the frequency of complications associated with the treatment of hypertension in these critically ill patients.
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