INTRODUCTION

Rabies leads to nearly 59,000 human deaths annually in over 150 countries [1]. Despite rabies being a fulminant problem with a high fatality rate, diagnosis of rabies, especially atypical or paralytic rabies, remains a challenge. This could be due to lack of hallmark signs of aerophobia/hydrophobia, autonomic disturbances, and initial presentation as acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) mimicking Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) [2]. Further, lack of exposure history (cryptogenic) adds to the diagnostic problem [2]. We present a case of cryptogenic paralytic rabies mimicking GBS, thus delaying the diagnosis.

CASE REPORT

An 18-year-old boy presented with a history of fever for the past 7 days, weakness in the lower limbs for the last 5 days, and weakness in the upper limbs and difficulty in swallowing for the past 3 days. The patient was drowsy, but followed commands. He was unable...
to lift his head and had a poor cough reflex and shallow respiration. The single breath count (an estimate of vital capacity; normal range, 25–30) was 5. Neck rigidity was not observed. Patient was areflexic, with mute plantar responses. The Medical Research Council grade for motor power was 2/5 and 0/5 for upper limbs and lower limbs, respectively, with normal sensory examination. Pupils were equal, reactive to light, and the fundus was normal upon examination. Laboratory investigations were normal except for serum sodium, which was 121 mmol/L (normal, 135–147 mmol/L). There was no history of dog bite, bat bite, or recent vaccination. A preliminary diagnosis of GBS was made, and large-volume plasmapheresis (LVPP) was planned.

Drowsiness was attributed to hyponatremia, and correction with 3% NaCl was initiated. Although the patient’s sensorium improved by the next day, he became quadriplegic. The patient underwent tracheal intubation, and mechanical ventilation was initiated. The patient received four cycles of LVPP on alternate days. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis revealed six cells; protein, 26 mg/dL; glucose, 82 mg/dL; and chloride, 113 mmol/L (normal CSF contains 0–5 white blood cells, 15–45 mg/dL protein, 40–70 mg/dL glucose, 118–132 mmol/L chloride). The chronic meningitis workup was also normal. Nerve conduction studies were planned but could not be performed due to logistic reasons. On the sixth day, the patient became drowsy and developed hypothermia and hypotension, requiring external forced air warming and noradrenaline infusion. Computed tomography of the brain revealed normal findings. Furthermore, extracranial movements became restricted in all directions, and the patient developed vertical nystagmus and pupillary asymmetry with sluggish reaction to light. In view of these signs, diagnosis was reconsidered to be either acute demyelinating encephalomyelitis (ADEM) or viral encephalitis; therefore, intravenous methylprednisolone 30 mg/kg/day and acyclovir were started on day 9. Simultaneously, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain and spine was performed, and serum samples were sent for antibody testing to investigate infectious causes, such as Herpes simplex virus encephalitis, Japanese encephalitis, chikungunya, syphilis, hepatitis B, dengue, or rabies.

MRI of the brain (T2 weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery) and spine (plain and contrast) revealed the involvement of cerebral cortical gray matter, midbrain, optic chiasma, hypothalamic region (Fig. 1), and central gray matter of the spinal cord in the lower dorsal and lumbar region with intense post-contrast enhancement of nerve roots of the cauda (Fig. 2), respectively. MRI findings were suggestive of paralytic rabies. Subsequently, the patient became deeply comatose, with pupils dilated, fixed, and unreactive to light. The patient died on day 15. Salivary polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for rabies viral RNA was negative on day 12. However, the rabies antibodies test by rapid fluorescent focus inhibition technique (RFFIT) came out positive on day 13 with a serum titer of 4,096 IU/mL (normally titers are not detectable if the patient is not vaccinated for rabies). The patient’s father later confirmed a history of dog bite 2 years back on his left leg in the school (as told by his friends). The patient had not informed about this at home and was not vaccinated for rabies. The patient’s parents refused an autopsy but agreed for transnasal brain biopsy and lumbar puncture. Post-mortem CSF-PCR for rabies virus was negative. However, the CSF-RFFIT titer for antibodies was <16 IU/mL (detectable titers in the CSF is considered as positive for rabies irrespective of the vaccination status), and brain biopsy showed Negri bodies, confirming the diagnosis of rabies.

**DISCUSSION**

Our patient initially presented with rapidly progressing AFP, mimicking GBS, without any preceding history of animal bite (positive history surfaced just a day prior to his death). Although GBS is the most common cause of AFP, it is important to consider rabies as a differential diagnosis at the earliest, even in the absence of a history of animal bite, especially in regions where rabies is endemic [1,2]. In one of the largest retrospective studies, involving 34 patients with paralytic rabies over 30 years, authors reported that the most common misdiagnosis was GBS (17/34) followed by ADEM (10/34) based on the patients’ initial clinical presentation [2]. There are also a few similar case reports [3-5]. Gadre et al. [2] observed that the incubation period between the time of animal bite and onset of rabies symptoms ranged from 7 days to 4 years. Paralytic rabies frequently presents as GBS, leading to a diagnostic dilemma. Furthermore, due to the lack of antemortem diagnostic criteria for rabies, the diagnosis remains challenging. Clinically, the presence of progressive paralysis with high-grade fever, bladder involvement, paraesthesia, rapid progression to cranial nerve palsies, altered mental status, deep coma, and the absence of albumin-cytological dissociation in CSF on laboratory examination, should raise the suspicion of paralytic rabies [2]. Major difference in the clinical course of paralytic rabies and furious rabies is attributed to the host immune response to the virus, which is defective in paralytic rabies and heightened in a furious form [6]. If we treat paralytic rabies with plasma therapy or immunoglobulins on the pretext of GBS, the possibility of protracted clinical course of the paralytic rabies and delayed encephalitic manifestations cannot be ruled out. It has been observed that immunotherapy and post-exposure prophylaxis can sometimes delay rabies manifestations and can present as paralytic rabies mim-
Antemortem diagnosis of rabies requires detection of viral RNA (in CSF, saliva, or skin) or neutralizing antibodies (in CSF or serum) by various methods, which are specific but have their own limitations with respect to sensitivity, turn-around time, availability, and affordability [8]. MRI findings in rabies have been found to be promising for diagnosing and distinguishing it from other common forms of AFP. As per available literature, MRI of paralytic rabies shows its predilection for specific areas, such as spinal cord, thalamus, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, brainstem, and hippocampus, which shows hyperintensity on T2 and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery [2-4]. In GBS, imaging findings are limited to nerve root enhancement in the cauda equina, sparing the brain parenchyma [9]. Imaging in ADEM predominantly involves white matter, although there can be cortical and deep gray matter involvement [10]. On the other hand, the hallmark of rabies encephalitis is cerebral cortical and spinal gray matter involvement with relative sparing of the white matter, which may help differentiate rabies encephalitis from demyelinating disorders. Rabies encephalitis and paralytic rabies have similar MRI findings, although spinal cord and medullary involvement is more commonly observed in the paralytic form [11]. In our case, we found involvement of...
the mid brain, optic chiasma, hypothalamic region, and gray matter of the spinal cord with nerve root enhancement. Our MRI findings resonate with the existing literature on MRI in paralytic rabies.

Early diagnosis of paralytic rabies is crucial to prevent unnecessary treatment on the lines of GBS or other demyelinating disorders and to explain the prognosis to the family. This will enable us to take appropriate infection control measures. In addition, with the emerging cases of rabies survivors, early diagnosis can give an impetus in trying newer treatment modalities in addition to supportive care [11,12]. To prevent neuronal damage following viral infiltration and subsequent immune response, various treatment protocols have been tried in the past, which include induction of therapeutic coma or hypothermia, but they were not found to be effective [13]. Sedatives, such as barbiturates, ketamine, or midazolam, and other medications, such as amantadine, antiviral (ribavirin), high dose immunoglobulins, and interferon alpha, also did not show promising results [13,14]. Newer therapeutic approaches include the use of newer antivirals such as favipiravir, molecular engineering of rabies antibodies for passive immunity, exogenous cytokines, and more recently silencing RNA and artificial microRNAs are being investigated and need further exploration to test the possible protocol that will work in rabies management [13].

In a patient diagnosed with GBS, paralytic rabies should be

Fig. 2. Magnetic resonance imaging spine-T2 weighted sagittal image of the conus-cauda region shows subtle hyperintense signal changes in the conus and nerve roots of the cauda are slightly thickened (A). Post-contrast T1 fat saturation image shows intense enhancement of the cauda nerve roots along with conus enhancement (B) and nerve roots enhancement noted at parasagittal level (C), as indicated by horizontal arrows.
considered as a potential differential diagnosis, even in the absence of a history of animal bite, especially if the patient belongs to a rabies endemic region. To facilitate early antemortem diagnosis of rabies, MRI of the brain and spine should be considered along with the antemortem rabies-specific tests.
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