Management strategies for refractory status epilepticus

Jung-Won Shin, MD, PhD
Department of Neurology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, Seongnam, Korea

Refactory status epilepticus (RSE) is defined as the persistence of either clinical or electrographic seizures despite the administration of appropriate doses of an initial benzodiazepine and suitable second-line antiepileptic drugs (AEDs). The Neurocritical Care Society and the American Epilepsy Society have proposed a treatment paradigm for the management of convulsive status epilepticus (CSE). The third-line therapy in refractory CSE may involve general anesthesia using intravenous midazolam, propofol, or other agents, while recent evidence supports the use of ketamine to manage RSE in both adults and children. However, although these treatment strategies are frequently employed in nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE), the efficacy of AEDs and anesthetics in NCSE has not been thoroughly investigated. Recent evidence has demonstrated the safety and efficacy of newer AEDs, including levetiracetam and lacosamide, in the treatment of status epilepticus (SE) and RSE, which also encompasses NCSE. Use of multiple combinations of various intravenous AEDs can also be considered in NCSE before the administration of general anesthesia. In addition, AEDs alone exhibit limited effectiveness in managing SE for new-onset RSE (NORSE) and its subset, febrile infection-related epilepsy syndrome. Therefore, in cases of refractory status, it is imperative to explore treatment options beyond AEDs, including immunotherapy and the incorporation of a ketogenic diet. The present review suggests treatment approaches for RSE based on subgroups, including CSE, NCSE, and NORSE. A discussion of recent clinical studies on AEDs and anesthetics in the management of RSE, as well as proposed treatment methods for NORSE, is also provided.
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INTRODUCTION

Refractory status epilepticus (RSE) is characterized by seizures that persist despite administration of first-line treatment with benzodiazepines and second-line options, including “classic” anticonvulsant therapy, such as phenytoin (PHT)/fosphenytoin, valproate (VPA), or levetiracetam (LEV). Typically, managing RSE necessitates the use of anesthetics and continuous electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring [1,2]. The prevailing guidelines suggest an immediate stepwise intervention, starting with benzodiazepines as the initial monotherapy, and if status epilepticus (SE) persists, second-line drugs should be incorporated sequentially [3]. In experimental models, extended seizures result in the internalization of GABAA receptors, while the concentration of gluta-
mate receptors, particularly an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor, increases at the synapse [4]. Further, following internalization, GABA receptors undergo reconfiguration, rendering them insensitive to benzodiazepines. Additionally, these receptors are preferentially relocated to extrasynaptic sites. These changes involve alterations in GABA receptor function and the transmembrane gradient for chloride, both of which diminish the capacity of benzodiazepines to enhance inhibitory synaptic signaling [5]. Hence, resistance to benzodiazepines may also be alleviated by alternative mechanisms, including modifications in other ion channels, including sodium or cholinergic mechanisms [4]. Furthermore, promising candidates among clinically available agents that target NMDA receptors include ketamine and those targeting α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (also known as AMPA) receptors, such as perampanel. These receptors appear to be upregulated in SE, and also play a role in the degradation of GABAA receptor-mediated inhibition. Investigations of the early administration of ketamine for treating RSE, often in combination with other drugs, in animal models have yielded promising results [3]. Further, recent research has demonstrated the remarkable neuroprotective effects of ketamine, achieved through the blockade of NMDA receptors, even when administered following the onset of SE [6].

The American Epilepsy Society has proposed practical conclusions and an integrated treatment algorithm for the management of convulsive status epilepticus (CSE) across the age spectrum, from infants through adults [7]. The Neurocritical Care Society has recommended guidelines for CSE based on the literature, utilizing standardized assessment methods from the American Heart Association and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation system [8]. Nevertheless, no consensus exists on how aggressively to approach nonconvulsive SE (NCSE) during RSE treatment. We have previously attempted intravenous polytherapy with antiepileptic drugs (AEDs); however, this strategy is marred by the controversy regarding when and how to administer anesthetics for refractory NCSE. New-onset RSE (NORSE) and its subset, febrile infection-related epilepsy syndrome (FIRES), is an uncommon and severe condition characterized by the sudden onset of RSE without any identifiable acute or active structural, toxic, or metabolic cause. Thus far, no randomized controlled trials have investigated the management of NORSE, and consensus guidelines are currently lacking. Recently, the International NORSE Consensus Group issued recommendations for managing NORSE, backed by supporting evidence. Therefore, when addressing the management of RSE, distinct treatment algorithms tailored to specific subgroups including CSE, NCSE, and NORSE of RSE must be considered.

This review explored the recent findings related to the administration of anesthetics, including the use of ketamine, which has garnered significant attention in both pediatric and adult cases of RSE. Within specific subgroups of RSE, I emphasized the crucial considerations of when and how to initiate anesthesia in the treatment of NCSE. Additionally, I discussed recent recommendations regarding the implementation of ketogenic diets and immunotherapy in cases of NORSE.

**MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR SUBGROUPS OF RSE**

In guidelines established by the American Epilepsy Society and International League Against Epilepsy, SE is defined using two time points, denoted as t1 and t2; t1 represents the duration beyond which seizures are likely to be prolonged, while t2 represents the time beyond which seizures can result in long-term consequences. For tonic-clonic seizures, t1 is set at 5 minutes, and t2 is set at 30 minutes. However, for focal SE or absence status, these specific time points either differ or remain unknown [7,9].

Diagnosing NCSE poses a significant challenge in the medical field. The Salzburg consensus criteria achieves high sensitivity (97.7%) and specificity (90%) by relying on electrographic/electroclinical features. These criteria include EEG evidence of rhythmic epileptiform discharges at a frequency > 2.5 Hz, or rhythmic EEG discharges at a frequency ≤ 2.5 Hz accompanied by spatiotemporal evolution, subtle clinical changes correlating with EEG alterations, or EEG and clinical improvement after intravenous AEDs therapy [10]. The latest standardization of critical care EEG terminology by the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society has integrated the Salzburg criteria, while also mandating the continuous presence of EEG changes indicative of NCSE for a minimum duration of 10 min or 20% of any 60-minute EEG recording [11]. Currently, in the management of NCSE, no consensus exists on how aggressively to pursue treatment. Considering the principle of “Time is brain,” the treatment paradigm for NCSE is similar to that of CSE. Experiments in animal models have yielded substantial evidence to indicate the infliction of neuronal damage during prolonged episodes of NCSE [12,13]. Further, investigations in rat models have shown neuronal loss, sustained immune response, and changes in synaptic proteins crucial for maintaining the excitatory/inhibitory balance [14]. In a clinical study, Cheng confirmed the prior finding that a 30-minute delay in therapy initiation in NCSE was linked to elevated morbidity and mortality [15]. Another prospective study indicated that early initiation of treatment leads to good control of NCSE [16].

Nevertheless, in patients with NCSE, the underlying etiology is
a critical prognostic factor, and evaluating the sole impact of NCSE on neuronal damage in patients is often challenging [17]. Currently, there is little high-quality evidence to guide the best management practices. Hence, when making decisions regarding the management of RSE, it is imperative to assess the potential risks associated with general anesthesia. Therefore, factors such as the severity of the underlying brain injury, patient’s age, comorbidities, and the overall goals of care must be considered, especially in cases of NCSE.

NORSE is a rare but profoundly devastating condition encompassing a range of diseases and disorders. It is characterized by the sudden onset of uncontrollable seizures, referred to as RSE, without any identifiable acute or active structural, toxic, or metabolic cause [18]. FIRES is regarded as a subset of NORSE rather than a distinct entity, and is characterized by the presence of a preceding febrile infection occurring between 2 weeks and 24 hours before the onset of RSE [19]. Currently, the evidence to guide the treatment of NORSE is primarily sourced from case reports, case series, and limited observational studies, and there are currently no available randomized controlled trials or consensus guidelines for the management of this condition. Recently, Wickstrom et al. [20] developed recommendations for diagnostic approaches, evaluation, and treatment utilizing the Delphi consensus approach, endorsed by the International League Against Epilepsy. According to the recommendations, the disease characteristics for NORSE/FIRES allow for diagnoses at any age, although the patterns of immune activation may vary between different age groups. Differentiating cases that are secondary to identifiable autoimmune encephalitis from cryptogenic NORSE is crucial, as it is likely to assist in determining the appropriate treatment and establishing the prognosis. In terms of acute phase management, the consensus is that treatment of seizures with AEDs and anesthetics during the initial 48 hours should follow a similar approach to the acute treatment of RSE in other conditions.

Current guidelines recommend that the management of NORSE/FIRES patients should be conducted in a tertiary center equipped with the necessary resources and the input of a multidisciplinary team of experts in epileptology, rheumatology, immunology, and intensive care. Additionally, a crucial distinction from the majority of treatment algorithms for RSE involves the incorporation of immunotherapy. The summary of the treatment strategy for SE specific to each subgroup within RSE is presented in Fig. 1.

### Intensive care management in RSE

When treating patients with SE, it is critical to assess airway, breathing, and circulation as a fundamental aspect of care. Respiratory failure or the presence of markers indicating cardiac injury occurs in approximately one-third of SE episodes, and is significantly associated with poor outcomes [21]. Further, the administration of a high dose of AEDs or anesthetics may contribute to respiratory depression, and it is important to note that up to one-third of patients with SE develop neurocardiogenic pulmonary edema, adding to the complexity of managing their condition [22]. Infections can occur as complications in up to 50% of SE cases [23]. Infections in SE cases are linked to a prolonged duration of SE, the requirement for mechanical ventilation, unfavorable discharge outcomes from the hospital, and overall poor recovery [24]. Prolonged seizures can lead to complications such as rhabdomyolysis. Patients experiencing rhabdomyolysis often exhibit additional abnormalities, including hyperkalemia, hyperphosphatemia, and hypocalcemia, particularly in cases involving severe acute kidney injury [25]. As such, intensive medical care management is essential. When treating patients with RSE, it is imperative to take into account the aforementioned systemic complications. In addition, continuous intravenous neuromuscular blocking drugs could mask ongoing seizure activity, making it challenging for clinicians to detect seizures without EEG monitoring. As such, it is prudent to avoid these drugs in such cases. Continuous EEG monitoring is essential when using anesthetics in the intensive care unit (ICU). The justification for tracheal intubation in suspected or confirmed NCSE has not yet been firmly established and requires meticulous evaluation. This assessment must weigh the evident risks associated with prolonged tracheal intubation and ICU stay against the uncertain benefits of therapeutic coma. It is also recommended to explore trials of non-sedative intravenous second-line AEDs before resorting to anesthetic induction in NCSE.

### Anesthetics

Current continuous intravenous anesthetics’ options include midazolam, propofol, and pentobarbital in the management of RSE or super RSE. Additionally, recent reports have explored the use of ketamine as an alternative agent. However, there are currently no randomized controlled trials to provide guidance on the selection of anesthetic drugs for the treatment of RSE. As such, which anesthetic third-line treatment for RSE offers superior outcomes, minimizes adverse effects, and is most effective in halting seizures in the ICU currently remains unclear. Most of the existing studies lack uniform data collection instruments, making it exceptionally challenging to draw meaningful comparisons between patients [26]. However, one recent retrospective, multicenter, observational cohort study was conducted to compare the efficacy of propofol (median maximum dose: 37 µg/kg/min [interquartile
Fig. 1. Treatment approaches for refractory status epilepticus based on subgroups including convulsive status epilepticus, non-convulsive status epilepticus, and new-onset refractory status epilepticus (NORSE)/febrile infection-related epilepsy syndrome (FIRES). ABC, airway, breathing, circulation; IV, intravenous; EEG, electroencephalogram; AED, antiepileptic drug; IM, intramuscular; KD, ketogenic diet; VNS, vagus nerve stimulation; PB, phenobarbital; SE, status epilepticus; RSE, refractory status epilepticus; AE, autoimmune encephalitis; IL, interleukin.

Ketamine, functioning as an NMDA receptor antagonist, is currently gaining prominence as the most encouraging alternative among anesthetics in the management of RSE. The primary and highly appealing theoretical benefit of ketamine lies in its distinct mechanism of action. Unlike standard anesthetics that act on GABA receptors, ketamine targets an alternative pathway via action on the NMDA receptor. This unique characteristic opens new avenues for the management of RSE [28,29]. Additionally, research on the neuroprotective effects of ketamine has accumulated substantial scientific data, showcasing the potential advantages of this drug [6]. Furthermore, ketamine demonstrates fewer cardiovascular and respiratory side effects compared to those associated with other anesthetics [1]. Conversely, ketamine is associated with numerous drawbacks, including hallucinations and sympathetic adrenergic effects, leading to elevated intracranial pressure [30]. One systematic review, included 244 cases of SE treated with ketamine (starting dose: 0.2 mg/kg/hr [IQR: 0.1–0.5 mg/kg/hr] and continued for 1.6 days [IQR: 0.6–2.9 days]), encompassing 13 case reports and five case series in adults, along with four case reports and three case series in children that were extracted from the PubMed database. The overall rate of success was 74% (153 out of 207 cases) in adults and 73% (27 out of 37 cases) in children [31]. Further, two recent studies have highlighted the use of ketamine for RSE and super RSE. One was a single-center retrospective study of 69 children who received ketamine for RSE [32]. Ketamine infusions were initiated at 1 mg/kg/hr in 66 of 69 patients (96%) and continuous infusion doses were 1–7 mg/kg/hr. The median total duration of ketamine in-
ion was 85.7 hours (IQR: 49.7–128.0 hours). Seizure termina-
tion was notably more successful when ketamine was adminis-
tered as the initial anesthesia, compared to its success in cases
where it was used after midazolam had been attempted first and
proved ineffective. Furthermore, the in-hospital adverse effects
were considered limited and manageable. This study contributed
significant data from neonates and younger children to the global
literature, with strong results indicating that ketamine can be uti-
ized effectively and safely in the treatment of RSE in both adults
and children. The second study involved a consecutive series of
68 adult patients diagnosed with super RSE, all of whom received
ketamine treatment between 2009 and 2018 [33]. The average
dose of ketamine infusion was 2.2 ± 1.8 mg/kg/hr, with median
duration of 2 days (IQR: 1–4 days). Within the first 24 hours of
initiating ketamine treatment, the seizure burden decreased by
50%, and complete cessation was observed in 63% of cases. In
this particular study, 11 patients underwent multimodal monitoring in
the ICU, and ketamine administration was linked to a stable mean
arterial pressure, leading to reduced vasopressor requirements
over time. Moreover, there were no discernible effects on intracra-
nial pressure, cerebral blood flow, or cerebral perfusion pressure in
cases involving both traumatic and nontraumatic brain injuries.
These results indicate that ketamine treatment is advantageous for
RSE, with high doses demonstrating improved hemodynamics
without an increase in intracranial pressure. This study corrobo-
rates the results of previous case reports and series suggesting that
ketamine is a favorable option for the management of patients
with hemodynamically unstable RSE [34,35].

Considering the pathomechanism of SE, where GABAA recep-
tors are internalized and glutamate receptors increase their concen-
tration at the synapse, initiating c with a combination of a ben-
zodiazepine and a second-line drug, such as LEV that modulates
 glutamate receptors, or other multi-action drugs, such as VPA, ap-
ppears to be a practical approach. In third-line therapy, several stud-
ies have indicated favorable outcomes with early anesthetic com-
bination therapies for RSE or super RSE, such as propofol-ket-
amine or midazolam-ketamine [35,36]. Early initiation of com-
 bined anesthetics may be beneficial, considering the underlying
pathomechanism, and the need to mitigate side effects resulting from
the high accumulation of propofol or barbiturates, by including
propylene glycol.

Different anesthetics have similar efficacy for RSE, but are ac-
 companied by a unique set of side effects. Side effects can impose
limitations on the duration of infusion that can be administered.
Consequently, the decision to continue should involve monitor-
ing specific laboratory values (such as creatinine kinase, acid/base
balance, serum lactate, and lipid profile) and conducting electro-
cardiograms and echocardiograms. Neurointensivists must assess
whether or not each patient’s symptoms are related to the anesthetic
drugs before proceeding further. Anesthetics management
could be personalized, considering the individual characteristics
of each patient.

The advantages and disadvantages, and initial and maintenance
dose of each anesthetic which are summarized in Table 1, play a
 crucial role in their selection. When treating RSE with anesthesia, the
 primary goal is seizure suppression. However, the optimal ex-
tent of seizure suppression, including the need for burst suppres-
sion, remains unclear in the management of SE. In addition, while
a continuous infusion is typically maintained for 24–48 hours be-
fore weaning [37], the duration of therapeutic coma is a subject of
controversy. A recent observational study suggested that a deeper
and shorter duration of therapeutic coma may be associated with
a decreased risk of withdrawal seizures and complications related
to prolonged hospitalization [38]. Therefore, considering the pa-
tient’s medical condition, we should aim to wean anesthetics as
soon as possible after 24–48 hours with sufficient seizure suppres-
sion. Additionally, other nonanesthetic AEDs should be added to
prevent the re-emergence of seizures during the anesthesia wean-
ing process.

Volatile inhalational anesthetics have been explored as an alter-
native salvage therapy in cases of super RSE. These anesthetics are
believed to suppress seizure activity by inhibiting NMDA excitoto-
cicity and activating GABA receptors [39]. Inhalational anes-
thetics offer advantages such as easy titration to EEG at the bed-
side and an ultrafast onset of action. Nevertheless, existing studies
on inhalational anesthetics show disparity in outcomes, lack com-
parative groups, and exhibit variations in the treatment regimens.
Furthermore, the utilization of these anesthetics is curtailed by
the potential for serious adverse events, particularly following pro-
longed usage [39].

### Intravenous AEDs

Table 2 summarizes the pharmacological characteristics of intra-
venous AEDs used in the management of SE. Traditionally, guide-
lines recommend the administration of intravenous fosphenyo-
tin/PHT (20 mg/kg PHT equivalent), VPA (20–40 mg/kg), phe-
nobarbital (PB; 15–20 mg/kg), and LEV (60 mg/kg or 3,000–
4,500 mg) for the treatment of established SE resistant to benzo-
diazepines [7].

In the recent literature, the majority of studies pertaining to
LEV have focused on its application in established SE. Three sig-
nificant randomized trials published in 2019 examined larger
loading doses of LEV specifically for established CSE. Notably,
the Class I ESETT study involved 384 patients (ranging in age

---
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### Table 1. Anesthetics used for the treatment of refractory status epilepticus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic</th>
<th>Main mechanism</th>
<th>Onset of action/half-life (elimination)</th>
<th>Loading dose/maintenance dose</th>
<th>Clinical consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>Potentiation of the inhibitory action of GABA receptors by increasing the frequency of chloride channel opening</td>
<td>1.5 min/1.8–6.4 hr</td>
<td>0.2 mg/kg followed by 0.05–2 mg/kg/hr</td>
<td>Respiratory depression, hypotension, tachyphylaxis after long use. Does not contain propylene glycol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>GABA receptor modulation and NMDA receptor blockade</td>
<td>15–30 sec/4–7 hr</td>
<td>1–2 mg/kg followed by 30–200 µg/kg/min</td>
<td>Respiratory depression, hypotension, metabolic acidosis, pancreatitis. Due to its high lipid solubility, prolonged infusions cause propofol to accumulate in peripheral tissue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbiturates (Thiopental/Pentobarbital)</td>
<td>Potentiation of GABA receptors by increasing the duration, not frequency, of chloride channel opening. Deep coma with profound reduction in cerebral metabolism.</td>
<td>Thiopental: 10–40 sec/3–22 hr, Pentobarbital: &lt;60 sec/15–50 hr</td>
<td>2–7 mg/kg (thiopental) or 5–15 mg/kg (pentobarbital) followed by 0.5–5 mg/kg/hr</td>
<td>Respiratory depression, hypotension, decreased cardiac output, ileus, immune suppression. Contains propylene glycol, which accumulates with continuous infusions, and can result in metabolic acidemia, cardiac toxicity and severe hypotension. Due to its high lipid solubility, prolonged infusions cause barbiturate to accumulate in peripheral tissue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketamine</td>
<td>Non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonist Reduction in glutaminergic neuronal transmission and excitotoxicity.</td>
<td>&lt;30 sec/2.5 hr</td>
<td>0.5–3 mg/kg followed by 0.1–5 mg/kg/hr</td>
<td>Cardiac arrhythmias (rare), hypertension, pulmonary edema, anaphylaxis,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>Inhibition of seizure activity via ultrashort acting inhibition of NMDA excitotoxicity and activation of GABA receptors.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not established/end-tidal concentrations 0.8%–2% titrated to EEG</td>
<td>Cardiac and respiratory depression, infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; EEG, electroencephalogram.

### Table 2. Intravenous antiepileptic drugs used for the treatment of status epilepticus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic</th>
<th>Initial dose</th>
<th>Maintenance dose</th>
<th>Clinical consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fosphenytoin/phenytoin</td>
<td>20 mg phenytoin equivalents/kg IV, maximum rate up to 150 mg phenytoin equivalents/min</td>
<td>100 mg IV every 8 hr</td>
<td>Arrhythmia, hypotension. Contains propylene glycol which can cause metabolic acidosis. Inducer of several cytochrome P450 enzyme, which can cause strong interactions with other drugs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam</td>
<td>1,000–3,000 mg IV, up to a maximum dose of 4,500 mg</td>
<td>500–1,500 mg IV every 12 hr</td>
<td>Required dose adjustment in renal impairment. Avoided in patients with history of agitation or psychiatric disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td>20–40 mg/kg IV</td>
<td>500–750 mg IV every 8 hr</td>
<td>Risk of hepatotoxicity (particularly in patients with mitochondrial diseases), pancreatitis, thrombocytopenia, platelet dysfunction and severe encephalopathy (monitoring of ammonia is necessary).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbital</td>
<td>20 mg/kg IV</td>
<td>50–100 mg IV every 12 hr</td>
<td>Serum level above 70 µg/mL can cause severe sedation. Contains propylene glycol which causes metabolic acidosis, and cardiac arrhythmias in higher cumulative doses. When administering mega-dose phenobarbital therapy, serum level and cardiac arrhythmia should be monitored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacosamide</td>
<td>200–400 mg IV</td>
<td>100–200 mg IV every 12 hr</td>
<td>PR prolongation, atrial arrhythmia; first, second, and third degree heart block.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV, intravenous.
from 1 to 95 years old) with benzodiazepine-resistant established CSE. These patients were randomized using a Bayesian adaptive design to receive fosphenytoin at 20 mg PE/kg, LEV at 60 mg/kg, or VPA at 40 mg/kg [40]. Termination of SE was observed in comparable percentages with LEV (47%), fosphenytoin (45%), and VPA (46%). No significant disparities were noted in terms of enhanced consciousness levels or major safety incidents. However, there were numerically more instances of hypotension and intubation associated with fosphenytoin, while LEV was found to be linked to a higher number of fatalities. In the Class III EcLiPSE open-label randomized controlled trial conducted in the United Kingdom, 286 children experiencing CSE following initial treatment with a benzodiazepine were compared in terms of second-line therapy. This study evaluated the efficacy of intravenous LEV at a loading dose of 40 mg/kg and intravenous PHT at 20 mg/kg [41]. CSE was terminated more frequently with LEV compared to its frequency of termination with PHT ($P = 0.20$). Furthermore, serious adverse events were reported with PHT, including life-threatening hypotension, exacerbated focal seizures, and a decreased level of consciousness. In the Class III open-label randomized controlled Convulsive Status Epilepticus Paediatric Trial (ConSEPT) conducted in Australia and New Zealand, 352 children experiencing CSE following initial benzodiazepine treatment were evaluated for second-line therapy. This study compared the effectiveness of intravenous LEV at a loading dose of 40 mg/kg, and intravenous PHT at 20 mg/kg [42]. Seizure activity ceased clinically within 5 minutes following the completion of the loading dose in 60% of children in the PHT group and 50% in the LEV group ($P = 0.16$), and no significant adverse events were reported.

Lacosamide (LCS) is available as an IV solution. Between 2009 and 2019, a total of 32 clinical trials exploring the use of intravenous LCS for SE treatment were conducted. These trials employed varied definitions of RSE, with some encompassing a significant portion of focal SE or electrographic NCSE cases. The efficacy of LCS in managing recurrent electrographic nonconvulsive seizures was evaluated through a comparison with fosphenytoin (intravenous LCS 400 mg vs. fosphenytoin 20 mg; PHT equivalent per kilogram). In a prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled trial designed to assess noninferiority, LCS demonstrated superiority over fosphenytoin in preventing seizure recurrence, with rates of 63.3% vs. 50% ($P = 0.02$) [43]. A recent comparative review involving 115 cases of LCS and 166 cases of PHT demonstrated comparable rates of seizure control and adverse events. However, patients with PHT exhibited a higher incidence of serious side effects compared to those associated with LCS (5.1 vs. 0.8%, $P = 0.049$) [44]. In a recent review conducted by the American Epilepsy Society Treatment Committee, there is a suggestion that LCS might be effective at halting RSE for both children and adults [45].

PB, one of the first antiepileptic medications to be developed, can be employed as a second-line therapy for managing controlled SE. Nevertheless, the utilization of PB was restricted due to its increased incidence of sedation, respiratory depression, and hypotension, as well as a higher number of drug interactions than those associated with LEV or LCS. Recently, the use of PB is being reconsidered. During the eighth London-Innsbruck Colloquium on Status Epilepticus in 2022, Eugen Trinka emphasized the high efficacy of PB use due to its GABAergic and anti-glutamatergic properties. Consequently, considering its mechanisms of action, the application of PB in both early and established cases of SE, including RSE, appears to be justified [46]. In 2019, one study aimed to assess the relative effectiveness and safety of AEDs in adults experiencing CSE resistant to benzodiazepines. Five randomized controlled trials were analyzed, encompassing 349 patients. PB exhibited the highest likelihood of achieving optimal control of SE and seizure freedom, while VPA and LCS were found to have the best safety outcomes. No differences in the incidence of respiratory depression and hypotension were observed among the medications [47]. One prior study further investigated the effectiveness and safety of mega-dose PB (mega-dose [MDPB]; enteral or parenteral PB > 10 mg/kg/day) for managing super refractory status epilepticus. Half of the patients achieved successful control of SRSE with a median duration of 45.5 days for MDPB treatment. The median maximum serum PB level reached 151.5 μg/mL [48]. Taking these findings into account, and considering the reduced risk of respiratory depression compared to those associated with other anesthetics, MDPB could be a viable and advantageous treatment choice for refractory NCSE.

NCSE, even if prolonged, may not require intensive care or anesthetic management, as the clinical variables are related to prognosis [39]. As yet, no extended prospective studies have yet been conducted to delineate the natural progression of NCSE or identify which patients would benefit from aggressive treatment. Therefore, when contemplating the use of anesthetic drugs for third-line therapy in refractory NCSE, it is crucial to assess whether this approach is more beneficial than it is detrimental to the patients involved. Considering the recent more favorable evidence data for intravenous AEDs, the initial consideration for third-line therapy in refractory NCSE could lean towards adding more second-line AEDs rather than opting for anesthetics.
NORSE: EXPLORING TREATMENT STRATEGIES FOR SUBGROUPS

In 2022, the International NORSE Consensus Group presented recommendations for managing NORSE, including FIRES [20]. In the acute phase of NORSE, an expert consensus supports the management of seizures with AEDs and anesthetics in the initial 48 hours should align with the acute treatment protocols followed for RSE in other conditions. Experts recommend initiating first-line immunotherapy, which may include corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulins, or therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE), within the initial 72 hours following the onset of SE in NORSE/FIRES cases. However, panel members exhibited significant disparities in their perspectives on the utilization of TPE. Consequently, this consensus document refrained from providing a specific recommendation regarding TPE, except for emphasizing that its use should not impede the initiation of subsequent treatments. Due to the probable engagement of immune mechanisms in perpetuating seizures, the consensus group also advocates for the initiation of a ketogenic diet and second-line immunotherapies within one week for noninfectious NORSE/FIRES patients exhibiting an insufficient response to first-line immune treatment. As existing evidence is insufficient to clearly endorse any particular second-line immunological treatment, the decision should be guided by the suspected underlying cause. For example, rituximab should be the first choice in the majority of cases where a pathogenic antibody is identified, or there is a strong suspicion of an autoimmune process. For cryptogenic NORSE/FIRES without evident clinical features of a specific autoimmune encephalitis syndrome, the administration of anakinra (interleukin 1 [IL]-1 receptor antagonists) or tocilizumab (IL-6 blockers) should be seriously contemplated.

CONCLUSION

In SE, early treatment and cessation of SE is crucial. However, when implementing aggressive treatment with general anesthesia and coma therapy, it is crucial to rely on evidence-based treatment modalities to prevent mortality and complications arising from RSE. Therefore, in the management of SE, status epilepticus could be classified into subgroups, such as CSE, NCSE and NORSE including FIRES. In refractory NCSE, the patient’s medical condition and weighing the benefits and risks of using anesthetics must be considered. Alternate options, such as add-on multiple non-sedative intravenous AEDs therapy, can be considered. Intravenous MDPB therapy could also be considered an effective treatment for super RSE when tapering out prolonged use of anesthetics. When choosing anesthetics, the choice should be individualized and it is important to consider the pros and cons of each drug before determining their use. Ketamine is favorable for children with RSE and adults with a hemodynamic unstable condition, and ketamine could be used as an add-on with other anesthetics with a GABAergic mechanism. In cases of NORSE, including FIRES, clinicians should consider administering immunotherapy and implementing a ketogenic diet. Management in each subgroup necessitates a multidisciplinary approach involving neurologists, intensivists, and other specialists. Individualized care based on each patient’s specific characteristics and underlying condition is paramount in improving outcomes in RSE.
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